## Iodine Deficiency Disorders (IDD) in the New Zealand population: another example of an outmoded IDD control programme

SA Skeaff, CD Thomson, RS Gibson

Department of Human Nutrition, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

**Background** – New Zealand (NZ) has low soil iodine requiring the introduction of iodised table salt in 1939 to combat IDD. However, public health recommendations to use less salt combined with a decline in the use of iodophors by the dairy industry suggests that iodine intakes may no longer be adequate.

**Objective** – To determine the iodine status of groups in NZ that are particularly susceptible to suboptimal iodine intakes: (i) pregnant women (ii) infants and toddlers, and (iii) schoolchildren.

**Design** – Pregnant women living in Dunedin were recruited by poster and advert and asked to provide a 24-hour urine sample at 12, 24 and 36 weeks. A casual urine sample was obtained from a cross-sectional sample of infants and toddlers aged 6-24 months living in Invercargill, Dunedin and Christchurch. A casual urine sample was obtained from randomly selected Dunedin and Wellington schoolchildren aged 8-10 years and thyroid volume measured by ultrasonography. A median urinary iodine concentration >100µg/L indicates adequate iodine status.

**Results** – The median urinary iodine concentration (MUIC) of the pregnant women (n=50) at 12, 24, and 36 weeks gestation was 44, 51, and 42  $\mu$ g/L, respectively. The MUIC of infants (n=51) who were currently formula fed (99  $\mu$ g/L) was significantly higher (P=0.000) than infants (n=43) who were currently breast fed (44  $\mu$ g/L). The MUIC of toddlers was 59  $\mu$ g/L (n=119). The MUIC of the schoolchildren (n=300) was 67  $\mu$ g/L with 11% of the children having an enlarged thyroid gland.

**Conclusions** –These results illustrate that mild to moderate IDD has re-emerged in New Zealand. Reliance on iodised table salt as the sole means of fortification is clearly ineffective.